

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/320912136>

Effects of order and sequence of resistance and endurance training on body fat in elementary school-aged girls

Article in *Biology of Sport* · September 2017

DOI: 10.5114/biolsport.2017.69826

CITATIONS

0

READS

83

5 authors, including:



Henrique P. Neiva

Universidade da Beira Interior

44 PUBLICATIONS 107 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



Mikel Izquierdo

Universidad Pública de Navarra

359 PUBLICATIONS 8,159 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



Mário C Marques

Universidade da Beira Interior

219 PUBLICATIONS 1,544 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)

Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:



Toledo Study for Healthy Aging [View project](#)



Strength and power parameters in swimmers [View project](#)

Effects of order and sequence of resistance and endurance training on body fat in elementary school-aged girls

AUTHORS: Ana R. Alves¹, Carlos C. Marta^{3,4}, Henrique P. Neiva^{1,2}, Mikel Izquierdo⁵, Mário C. Marques^{1,2}

¹ University of Beira Interior. Department of Sport Sciences, Covilhã, Portugal

² Research Centre in Sports Sciences, Health Sciences and Human Development, CIDESD, Portugal

³ Guarda Polytechnic Institute. Department of Sport Sciences, Guarda, Portugal

⁴ Research Unit for Inland Development, UDI, Guarda, Portugal

⁵ Public University of Navarre, Department of Health Sciences, Navarre, Spain

ABSTRACT: The purpose of this study was to analyse the effects of order and sequence of concurrent resistance and endurance training on body fat percentage (BFP) in a large sample of elementary school-aged girls. One hundred and twenty-six healthy girls, aged 10-11 years (10.95 ± 0.48 years), were randomly assigned to six groups to perform different training protocols per week for 8 weeks: Resistance-only (R), Endurance-only (E), Concurrent Distinct Endurance-Resistance (CDER), Concurrent Parallel Endurance-Resistance (CPER), Concurrent Parallel Resistance-Endurance (CPRE), and a Control group (C). In R and E, the subjects performed single sessions of resistance or endurance exercises, respectively (two days per week). In CDER, resistance-endurance training was performed on different days each week (four days per week). CPER and CPRE performed single-session combined endurance-resistance training or combined resistance-endurance training, respectively, each week (two days per week). After an 8-week training period, BFP decreased in all experimental groups (CPER: 13.3%, $p < 0.05$; CPRE: 13.8%, $p < 0.001$; E: 1.9%, $p > 0.05$; R: 5.0%, $p > 0.05$; and CDER: 5.6%, $p > 0.05$). However, a significant difference was found in CPER and CPRE when compared to CDER, E, and R, indicating that training sequence may influence BFP. All programmes were effective, but CPER and CPRE obtained better results for BFP than CDER, E, or R. The effects of concurrent resistance and endurance training on body fat percentage can be mediated by order and sequence of exercise. These results provide insight into optimization of school-based fat loss exercise programmes in childhood.

CITATION: Alves AR, Marta CC, Neiva HP et al. Effects of order and sequence of resistance and endurance training on body fat in elementary school-aged girls. *Biol Sport*. 2017;34(4):379–384.

Received: 2016-01-30; Reviewed: 2016-07-20; Re-submitted: 2017-04-05; Accepted: 2017-04-14; Published: 2017-09-20.

Corresponding author:

Mário C. Marques

University of Beira Interior
(Covilhã) PORTUGAL Department
of Sports Sciences Rua Morais
do Convento 6201-001 (Covilhã)
Portugal

E-mail: mariomarques@
mariomarques.com

Key words:

Power
Concurrent
Prepubescent

INTRODUCTION

Nowadays in developed countries, advances in technology and changes in lifestyles lead to a decrease in daily physical activity and an unsuitable diet. The incidence of diseases related to overweight has increased even among young people [1]. School offers a natural environment for intervening to increase physical activity and improve the body composition among young people [2, 3], and a number of studies support the efficacy of school-based programmes for doing so [4, 5]. However, many doubts arise as to the best physical activity programme to implement.

Endurance training is usually used for fat mass and weight loss programmes [6, 7]. Also, strength training can improve body composition and decrease body fat [8, 9]. Furthermore, both strength and endurance training are often performed concurrently in most exercise programmes in wellness, fitness and rehabilitative settings, in an attempt to achieve different physical fitness goals [10], and there exist some studies reporting a significant decrease of sub-

cutaneous fat and body fat percentage after performing combined strength and endurance training [11, 12]. However, other studies have shown that the percentage fat mass and total fat mass remain unchanged with concurrent strength and endurance training [13-15].

According to our best knowledge, there are no studies that have compared the effects of concurrent training on body fat when this is done on the same day or on alternate days each week, and no studies investigating the effects of order and sequence of concurrent training on body composition in prepubescent children have been reported. Therefore, the aim of the current study was to investigate the effect of different methods of concurrent training (single sessions vs. separate sessions of combined strength and endurance training) on body fat percentage in prepubertal aged children, and compare the results with those obtained when resistance or endurance training is performed alone.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Subjects

The sample consisted of 126 prepubescent girls, aged between 10 and 11 years, all of whom volunteered for this study. Inclusion criteria were: children aged 10 to 11.5 years (5th and 6th graders), who were self-assessed in Tanner stages I and II, with no chronic paediatric diseases or orthopaedic limitations, performing no regular oriented extra-curricular physical activity (e.g. practising sport at a club). Subjects were carefully informed about the design of the study and subsequently the children's parents signed an informed consent document prior to the start of the study. The study was conducted according to the Declaration of Helsinki, and was approved by the institutional review boards of the University of Beira Interior (UBI), the Polytechnic Institute of Guarda (IPG), and the Research Centre in Sports, Health and Human Development (CIDESD), Portugal. The participants' general characteristics are described in Table 1.

Intervention

A randomized controlled trial was conducted in a public elementary school. Groups were determined using a random number generator, resulting in the assignment of 25 children to each group. The proportion of participants successfully completing the protocol was 88% (R: Resistance-only), 96% (E: Endurance-only), 68% (CDER: Concurrent Distinct Endurance-Resistance), 64% (CPER: Concurrent Parallel Endurance-Resistance), 96% (CPRE: Concurrent Parallel Resistance-Endurance), and 92% (C: Control group). Thus, analysis was conducted on the remaining 126 girls (R= 22; E= 24; CDER= 17; CPER= 16; CPRE= 24; and C= 23). In R and E groups, the subjects performed single sessions of resistance or aerobics exercise, respectively (two days per week). In the CDER group, resistance and endurance training were performed on different days each week (two and two days per week). CPER and CPRE groups performed single-

session combined endurance and strength training or combined strength and endurance training, respectively, each week (two days per week). The control group followed the physical education classes curriculum, without a specific training programme.

Prior to training, subjects warmed up for approximately 10 min with low to moderate intensity exercises, and at the end of the training sessions subjects performed 5 minutes of static stretching exercises. The resistance training programme comprising upper body (1 and 3 kg medicine ball throws) and lower body (jumps onto a box and hurdle jumps, from 0.3 to 0.5 m) plyometric exercises, as well as a speed drill (sets of 20 to 40 m speed runs). The subjects who performed the endurance training programme were subjected to a 20 m shuttle run exercise, readjusted after 4 weeks of training (for more details see [16]). Subjects performed two familiarization sessions before the training programme. The same researcher conducted the training programme and the anthropometric assessments. A more detailed analysis of the programme can be found in Table 2.

Anthropometric and morphological measurements

All anthropometric measurements were assessed according to international standards for anthropometric assessment [17]. Standing height was assessed with a precision stadiometer to the nearest 0.001 m (Seca, model 214, Hamburg, Germany). Body mass index (BMI) and body fat percentage (BFP) were assessed using a body composition analyser (Tanita TBF-300A), and the intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) values were 0.95 and 0.90, respectively. The measurements were performed with caution following all appropriated steps to guarantee the maximum reliability of the bio-impedance method. The participants were barefoot and only wearing underwear. Tanner stages [18] were determined by self-assessment in a private room using drawings employed in a previously validated procedure [19].

TABLE 1. Subject characteristics by group before training (n=126).

Group	N	Age (years), mean \pm SD	Height (m), mean \pm SD	Weight (kg), mean \pm SD
E	24	11.1 \pm 0.5	1.5 \pm 0.0	39.8 \pm 9.5
R	22	10.9 \pm 0.4	1.5 \pm 0.1	41.4 \pm 9.9
CDER	17	11.1 \pm 0.5	1.4 \pm 0.1	39.2 \pm 8.4
CPRE	24	10.8 \pm 0.4	1.4 \pm 0.1	39.8 \pm 9.4
CPER	16	11.1 \pm 0.5	1.5 \pm 0.1	41.9 \pm 11.6
C	23	11.0 \pm 0.5	1.4 \pm 0.1	37.3 \pm 6.9
p-value		0.09	0.08	0.67

Mean \pm SD and p-value of age (years), height (m), weight (kg) in endurance training alone (E), resistance training alone (R), concurrent distinct endurance and resistance training (CDER), concurrent parallel resistance and endurance training (CPRE), concurrent parallel endurance and resistance training (CPER), and control group (C).

Table 2. Training programme design (sets x repetitions/distances).

Sessions						
Exercise	1	2	3	4	5	6
1 kg Ball Throw	2x8	2x8	2x8	2x8	2x8	2x8
3 kg Ball Throw	2x8	2x8	2x8	2x8	2x8	2x8
SL Jump	2x4	2x4	2x4	2x4	2x4	2x4
CM Jump	1x5	1x5	1x5	1x5	2x5	2x5
20 m Sprint	2x20 m	2x20 m	2x20 m	2x20 m	3x20 m	3x20 m
20 m Shuttle Run (MAV)	70%	70%	70%	70%	75%	75%

Sessions						
Exercise	7	8	9	10	11	12
1 kg Ball Throw	2x8	2x8	3x8	3x8	3x8	3x8
3 kg Ball Throw	2x8	2x8	2x8	2x8	3x6	3x6
SL Jump	2x4	2x4	3x4	3x4	3x4	3x4
CM Jump	2x5	2x5	2x5	2x5	3x5	3x5
20 m Sprint	3x20 m	3x20 m	3x20 m	3x20 m	3x30 m	3x30 m
20 m Shuttle Run (MAV)	75%	75%	75%	75%	80%	80%

Sessions				
Exercise	13	14	15	16
1 kg Ball Throw	3x8	3x8	3x8	3x8
3 kg Ball Throw	3x6	3x6	3x6	3x6
SL Jump	4x4	4x4	4x4	4x4
CM Jump	3x5	3x5	3x5	3x5
20 m Sprint	3x30 m	3x30 m	3x30 m	3x30 m
20 m Shuttle Run (MAV)	80%	80%	80%	80%

1 kg Ball Throw – Chest 1 kg Medicine Ball Throwing (m); 3 kg Ball Throw – Chest 3 kg Medicine Ball Throwing (m); SL Jump – Standing Long Jump (m); CM Jump – Counter Movement Jump onto a box (m); 20 m Sprint – 20 m Sprint Running (s); MAV – maximal individual aerobic volume.

Statistical analysis

Standard statistical methods were used to calculate the means and standard deviations. Normality of distribution was checked by applying the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), followed by Bonferroni's post-hoc comparison tests, was used to verify the differences of each group in the body fat percentage values in the pre-test. To analyse the differences between groups in the post-test measures a univariate analysis of variance (ANCOVA) was conducted with the pre-test variables as the covariate, followed by Bonferroni's post-hoc comparison tests. Partial eta squared was calculated. The assumption of sphericity was validated by Mauchly's test of sphericity. The statistical significance was set at $p \leq 0.05$.

RESULTS

At baseline, no differences were found between groups for age or Tanner ratings. In addition, similar values were found between groups on the BFP ($F(5,120) = 0.58, p=0.71$).

There was found a medium-sized decrease from the pre- to post-training in the BFP ($F(5,120)=5.91, p<0.001$). There was also found a medium-sized effect on the BMI ($F(5,120)=17.74, p < 0.001$). There were decreases from pre- to the post-training in the CPRE ($p<0.001$) and CPER ($p<0.01$) groups. Inversely, there was an increase in the control group ($p < 0.001$). No changes in BFP from pre- to the post-training occurred for E, R and CDER groups ($p=0.79, p=0.05$, and $p=0.37$, respectively). The mean changes in BFP and BMI in the control and experimental groups are shown in Table 3.

Table 3. Descriptive statistics and univariate analysis of variance and descriptive statistics.

Group	N	BMI	BMI	BMI p-value, effect size	BFP (%)	BFP (%)	BFP (%) p-value, effect size
		Mean \pm SD (Pre-training)	Mean \pm SD (Post-training)		Mean \pm SD (Pre-training)	Mean \pm SD (Post-training)	
E	24	18.0 \pm 3.3	18.7 \pm 3.2	<0.001, 0.43	21.5 \pm 9.5	21.1 \pm 8.5	<0.001, 0.20
R	22	19.5 \pm 3.0	19.3 \pm 2.9		24.0 \pm 6.1	22.8 \pm 6.8	
CDER	17	18.9 \pm 3.2	19.4 \pm 2.9		23.2 \pm 10.5	21.9 \pm 6.8	
CPRE	24	19.3 \pm 3.4	18.1 \pm 3.2		24.7 \pm 7.6	21.3 \pm 6.8	
CPER	16	19.8 \pm 3.7	18.6 \pm 3.6		24.1 \pm 7.8	20.9 \pm 6.1	
C	23	18.8 \pm 2.6	19.8 \pm 3.3		21.9 \pm 6.0	24.5 \pm 6.9	

Mean \pm SD, p-value, and effect size of body mass index (BMI) and body fat percentage (BFP) in pre- and post-training in endurance training alone (E), resistance training alone (R), concurrent distinct endurance and resistance training (CDER), concurrent parallel resistance and endurance training (CPRE), concurrent parallel endurance and resistance training (CPER), and control group (C).

DISCUSSION

The purpose of this study was to analyse the effects of order and sequence of concurrent strength and endurance training on body fat percentage in elementary school-aged girls. The main results suggested that both single-session and combined resistance-endurance training or combined endurance-resistance training were more efficient in decreasing fat mass in healthy prepubescent girls than resistance and endurance training alone or combined resistance and endurance training performed in separate sessions. These results have important relevance for optimization of school-based fat loss exercise programmes in childhood.

Excess adiposity is one of the main risk factors for the development of several metabolic disorders [20, 21]. In addition, the reduction of body fat with non-pharmacological interventions is the most accepted method for the majority of the population, including the paediatric one [22]. These interventions have been the focus of several studies that aimed to identify which training models are more effective in the prevention and treatment of excess adiposity and related diseases [22]. Resistance exercises increase bone and lean mass, reducing total body weight as well as absolute and relative fat mass [23–25]. In parallel to this, aerobic exercises collaborate in the reduction and control of total body fat [26, 27] and promote beneficial changes in individuals' lipid profile [28]. So, a combination of resistance and endurance training could be beneficial for weight loss and body composition [9].

After the 8-week training period, BFP decreased in all experimental groups. However, a significant difference was found in CPER and CPRE groups when compared to CDER, E, and R groups, indicating that training sequence may influence BFP. The greater loss of body fat in CPER and CPRE groups may be related to the basal metabolic rate [6]. Perhaps better recruitment of the energy reserves takes place, caused by the increased energy demands from the simultane-

ous training stimuli [29]. Our results were in line with the results of previous studies that also reported a significant decrease of BFP after performing 8 weeks of concurrent parallel training (CPER: 17.2%; CPRE: 23.2%, $p < 0.001$) in obese females [30], as well as in middle-aged individuals [12]. Similar results were also obtained by Arazi *et al.* [31] for groups of college students after performing 12 weeks of concurrent parallel training (16.5%, $p < 0.05$) and concurrent distinct training (CDER: 19.3%, $p < 0.05$). Nevertheless, these studies did not confirm which training is more efficient to change body composition. Our outcomes showed better results in the CPRE group when compared to the CPER group, which would suggest that training order may have an influence on BFP. Probably, if the duration of the training programme had been longer than eight weeks, this decrease could have been more substantial.

Concurrent training can significantly increase basal metabolism and decrease body fat relative to the obtained amounts in the before-training period [6]. The results confirmed previous evidence indicating a decrease of subcutaneous fat and body fat percentage after performing eight weeks of combined strength and endurance training in middle-aged individuals [11], as well as in pubescent children [10]. These results have important relevance for optimization of school-based fat loss exercise programmes in childhood. Concurrent training could be implemented in a school programme to contribute to fat loss, regardless of the order of resistance and endurance exercise. We should be aware that different training durations and different workouts could lead to different results. Therefore, care is needed when translating these findings to programmes for overweight/obese children. Children must be motivated to participate in physical activity programmes and these should focus on having fun and developing motor skills rather than on competition. As soon as a child reaches school age, fundamental sports skills must be taught, but emphasising the fun of sport and play [32].

CONCLUSIONS

To sum up, it can be stated that performing concurrent resistance and endurance training in the same session is more helpful than endurance or resistance training alone, or resistance and endurance training in separate sessions, for reducing body fat percentage of non-athlete prepubescent girls. In addition, the order of concurrent parallel resistance and endurance training did not influence the body fat percentage. Thus, this evidence showed the importance of training design in optimizing school-based fat loss exercise programmes in childhood.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank all the children who participated in this research, and also that of the Santa Clara Middle School in becoming available the context facilities. The authors have no conflicts of interest that are directly relevant to the content of this study. This work was supported by University of Beira Interior.

Conflict of interests: the authors declared no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this manuscript.

REFERENCES

1. Dridi S, Taouis M. Adiponectin and energy homeostasis: consensus and controversy. *J Nutr Biochem*. 2009;20:831-839.
2. Kriemler S, Meyer U, Martin E, van Sluijs EM, Andersen LB, Martin BW. Effect of school-based interventions on physical activity and fitness in children and adolescents: a review of reviews and systematic update. *Br J Sports Med*. 2011;45:923-930.
3. Rashad KI, Phillips MA, Revels M, Ujamaa D. Contribution of the school environment to physical fitness in children and youth. *J Phys Act Health*;2010;7:333-342.
4. Dobbins M, De Corby K, Robeson P, Husson H, Tirilis D. School-based physical activity programs for promoting physical activity and fitness in children and adolescents aged 6-18. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2009; 21(1):CD007651.
5. Kriemler S, Zahner L, Schindler C, Meyer U, Hartmann T, Hebestreit H, et al. Effect of school based physical activity programme (KISS) on fitness and adiposity in primary schoolchildren: cluster randomised controlled trial. *BMJ*. 2010;23:c785.
6. Dolezal BA, Potteiger JA. Concurrent resistance and endurance training influence on basal metabolic rate in nondieting individuals. *J Appl Physiol*. 1998;85(2):695-700.
7. Wong T, Harber V. Lower excess post-exercise oxygen consumption and altered Growth hormone and cortisol responses to exercise in obese men. *J Clin Endocrin Metab*. 2006;91:2678-2688.
8. Kay SJ, Fiatarone Singh MA. The influence of physical activity on abdominal fat: a systematic review of the literature. *Obes Rev: an official journal of the International Association for the Study of Obesity*. 2006;7(2):183-200.
9. Hendrickson NR, Sharp MA, Alemany JA, Walker LA, Harman EA, Spiering BA, et al. Combined resistance and endurance training improves physical capacity and performance on tactical occupational tasks. *Eur J Appl Physiol*. 2010;109(6):1197-1208.
10. Santos A, Marinho DA, Costa AM, Izquierdo M, Marques MC. The effects of concurrent resistance and endurance training follow a detraining period in elementary school students. *J Strength Cond Res*. 2012;26:1708-1716.
11. Akbarpour MB, Assarzadeh M, Sadeghian H. The Effect of Combined Strength-Endurance Training on the Improvement of Cardiovascular Disease Risk Factors of Obese Middle-aged Men. *Ann Biol Res*. 2011;2(6):123-129.
12. Maiorana A, O'Driscoll G, Goodman C, Taylor R, Green D. Combined aerobic and resistance exercise improves glycemic control and fitness in type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract*. 2002;56(2):115-123.
13. Sillanpää E, Häkkinen A, Nyman K, Mattila M, Cheng S, Karavirta L, et al. Body composition and fitness during strength and/or endurance training in older men. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 2008;40 (5):950-958.
14. Häkkinen K, Alen M, Kraemer WJ, Gorostiaga E, Izquierdo M, Rusko H, et al. Combined resistance and endurance training improves physical capacity and performance on tactical occupational tasks. *Eur J Appl Physiol*. 2010;109(6):1197-1208.
15. Cadore EL, Pinto RS, Lhullier FL, Correa CS, Alberton CL, Pinto SS, et al. Physiological effects of concurrent training in elderly men. *Int J Sports Med*. 2010;31(10):689-697.
16. Alves AR, Marta C, Neiva HP, Izquierdo M, Marques MC. Concurrent training in prepubescent children: the effects of eight weeks of strength and aerobic training on explosive strength and V02max. *J Strength Cond Res*. 2016;30(7):2019-32
17. Marfell-Jones M, Olds T, Stewart A, Carter L. International Standards for Anthropometric Assessment. Potchefstroom, South Africa: ISAK;2006.
18. Tanner JM. Growth at adolescence. 2nd.ed. Oxford: Blackwell Scientific;1962.
19. Duke PM, Litt IR, Gross RT. Adolescents self-assessment of sexual maturation. *Pediatrics*. 1980;66:918-920.
20. Mantovani RM, Viana MF, Cunha SB, Moura LC, Oliveira JM, Carvalho FF, et al. Obesidade na infância e adolescência. *Rev Med Minas Gerais*. 2008;18 (4 Suppl 1):107-18.
21. Netto-Oliveira ER, Oliveira AA, Nakashima AT, Rosaneli CF, Oliveira Filho A, Rechenchosky L, et al. Overweight and obesity in children of different socioeconomic levels. *Rev Bras Cineantropom Desempenho Hum*. 2010;12:83-9.
22. Antunes B, Monteiro P, Silveira L, Cayres S, Silva C, Júnior I. Effect of concurrent training on risk factors and hepatic steatosis in obese adolescents. *Rev Paul Pediatr*. 2013; 31(3):371-376.
23. Wilmore JH, Costill D. Physiology of sport and exercise. 6th ed. Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics Publications;1994.
24. Kwon HR, Han KA, Ku YH, Ahn HJ, Koo BK, Kim HC, et al. The effects of resistance training on muscle and body fat mass and muscle strength in type 2 diabetic women. *Korean Diabetes J*. 2010;34:100-110.
25. Ucan, Y. Effects of different types of exercises on body composition in young men and women. *Life Sci J*. 2013;10(3):1799-1806.
26. Leite N, Lazarotto L, Cavazza JF, Lopes MF, Bento PC, Torres R, et al. Effects of aquatic exercise and nutritional guidance on the body composition of obese children and adolescents. *Rev Bras Cineantropom Desempenho Hum*. 2010;12:232-8.
27. McArdle WD, Katch FI, Katch VL. Essentials of exercise physiology.

- 2nd ed. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins;2000.
28. Slentz CA, Houmard JA, Johnson JL, Bateman LA, Tanner CJ, McCartney JS, et al. Inactivity, exercise training and detraining, and plasma lipoproteins STRRIDE: a randomized, controlled study of exercise intensity and amount. *J Appl Physiol.* 2007;103:432-42.
 29. Sale DG, Jacobs I, MacDougall JD, Garner S. Comparison of two regimens of concurrent strength and endurance training. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* 1990;22:348-356.
 30. Ali-Mohamadi M, Abbaspoor M, Rahimi R, Hakimi M. The Influence of Order Execution Components of the Strength and Endurance in the Concurrent Training on Lipid Profile and Body Composition in Overweight Females. *World Appl Sci J.* 2014; 29 (7):946-953.
 31. Arazi H, Faraji H, Moghadam, MG, Samadi A. Effects of concurrent exercise protocols on strength, aerobic power, flexibility and body composition. *Kinesiology.* 2011;43(2):155-162.
 32. Landry BW, Driscoll SW. Physical activity in children and adolescents. *PM&R.* 2012;4(11): 826-832.